

MELANIE D. MORGAN, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 8215  
TENESA SCATURRO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 12488  
AKERMAN LLP  
1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330  
Las Vegas, NV 89144  
Telephone: (702) 634-5000  
Facsimile: (702) 380-8572  
Email: melanie.morgan@akerman.com  
Email: tenesa.scaturro@akerman.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterdefendant  
Bank of America, N.A.*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., SUCCESSOR BY  
MERGER TO BAC HOME LOANS  
SERVICING, LP FKA COUNTRYWIDE  
HOME LOANS SERVICING, LP.

**Plaintiff.**

SOUTH VALLEY RANCH COMMUNITY  
ASSOCIATION; HITCHEN POST DR. TRUST;  
and HOMEOWNER ASSOCIATION  
SERVICES, INC..

### Defendants.

Case No.: 2:16-cv-01013-KDJ-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY  
LITIGATION PENDING FINAL  
RESOLUTION OF PETITION(S) FOR  
WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO UNITED  
STATES SUPREME COURT**

## HITCHEN POST DR. TRUST.

## Counterclaimant,

## BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.

Counterdefendant.

1 Plaintiff Bank of America, N.A. (**BANA**) and Defendants South Valley Ranch Community  
 2 Association and Hitchen Post Dr. Trust (collectively, the **parties**)<sup>1</sup> stipulate as follows:

3 1. This lawsuit involves the parties seeking quiet title/declaratory relief and other claims  
 4 related to a non-judicial homeowner's association foreclosure sale conducted on a Property pursuant  
 5 to NRS 116.

6 2. On August 12, 2016, the Ninth Circuit issued its decision on appeal in *Bourne Valley*  
 7 *Court Tr. v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*, 832 F.3d 1154, 1159-60 (9th Cir. 2016) holding that NRS 116  
 8 is facially unconstitutional. The Court of Appeals issued its mandate in the appeal on December 14,  
 9 2016, vacating and remanding the judgment to the United States District Court, District of Nevada.

10 3. On January 26, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court issued its decision in *Saticoy Bay*  
 11 *LLC Series 350 Durango 104 v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, a Div. of Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*,  
 12 133 Nev. Adv. Op. 5, \_\_\_ P.3d \_\_\_, 2017 WL 398426 (Nev. Jan. 26, 2017), holding, in direct  
 13 contrast to *Bourne Valley*, that no state action supported a challenge under the Due Process Clause of  
 14 the United States Constitution.

15 4. The parties in *Bourne Valley* and *Saticoy Bay* are seeking review of both decisions in  
 16 the United States Supreme Court. *Bourne Valley*'s deadline to file its petition for writ of certiorari of  
 17 the Ninth Circuit's *Bourne Valley* decision is April 3, 2017. *See Bourne Valley Court Trust v. Wells*  
 18 *Fargo Bank, NA.*, United States Supreme Court Case No. 16A753. Wells Fargo's deadline to file its  
 19 petition for writ of certiorari of the Nevada Supreme Court's *Saticoy Bay* decision is April 25, 2017.  
 20 Thus, the parties believe that the stay requested herein is appropriate.

21 5. On February 8, 2017, the Nevada Supreme Court stayed the issuance of the remittitur  
 22 in *Saticoy Bay* pending the filing of a petition for a writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme  
 23 Court, and if a petition is filed, the stay of the remittitur will remain in effect until final disposition  
 24 of the certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

25 6. Since then, several judges in this district have stayed similar cases pending the  
 26 exhaustion of all appeals before the United States Supreme Court. *E.g., Nationstar Mtg. LLC v.*  
 27

28 <sup>1</sup> Defendant Homeowner Association Services, Inc. is no longer represented by counsel. In other HOA cases,  
 previous counsel indicated he did not oppose the stipulation, but was unable to get in touch with his client.  
 {41244004;1}

1     *Green Valley S. Owners Assoc.*, No. 2:16-cv-00883-GMN-GWF; *Bank of America, N.A. v. Canyon*  
 2     *Willow Trop Owners' Assoc.*, No. 2:16-cv-01327-GMN-VCF (D. Nev. Oct. 26, 2016); *Deutsche*  
 3     *Bank Nat'l Trust Co. v. Copper Sands HOA*, No. 2:16-cv-00763-JAD-CWH (D. Nev. Feb. 28, 2017).

4         7. To determine if a continued stay is appropriate, the Court considers (1) damage from  
 5 the stay; (2) hardship or inequity that befalls one party more than the other; and (3) the orderly  
 6 course of justice. *See Dependable Highway Exp., Inc. v. Navigators Ins. Co.*, 498 F.3d 1059, 1066  
 7 (9th Cir. 2007) (setting forth factors). Here, the factors support a stay of litigation.

8             a.     Damage from Stay: Any damage from a temporary stay in this case will be minimal  
 9 if balanced against the potential fees, costs, and time which would surely ensue in this matter if  
 10 litigation were allowed to continue that could be mooted by a decision in *Bourne Valley* certiorari  
 11 proceedings. Indeed, the parties will be enable to avoid the cost and expense of continued legal  
 12 proceedings in light of what is unsettled law to say the least. Moreover, the Court will be relieved of  
 13 expending further time and effort until the conflict between the circuit and Nevada Supreme Court is  
 14 resolved. Thus, a stay will benefit all parties involved herein.

15             b.     Hardship or Inequity: There will be no significant hardship or inequity that befalls  
 16 one party more than the other. This relatively equal balance of equities results from the need for all  
 17 parties to have finality, given the split in the state and federal court decisions. The parties agree that  
 18 any hardship or inequity falling on any of them is outweighed by the benefits of a stay.

19             c.     Orderly Course of Justice: At the center of this case is a homeowners' association's  
 20 foreclosure sale under NRS 116. The outcome of the petitions for writ in *Bourne Valley* and/or  
 21 *Saticoy Bay* have the potential to affirm or overturn either case. Without a stay, the parties will  
 22 expend resources that will be unnecessary if either or both petitions are granted. A stay would also  
 23 avoid a likely appeal from any subsequent judgment in this case. A temporary stay would  
 24 substantially promote the orderly course of justice in this case. A stay will avoid the moving  
 25 forward without final resolution of the federal issues and the state court/federal court conflict.

26         8. The parties agree that all proceedings in the instant case, including motion and other  
 27 litigation deadlines, are stayed pending final resolution of the *Bourne Valley* and/or *Saticoy Bay*  
 28 certiorari proceedings before the United States Supreme Court.

1       9.     Defendant Hitchen Post shall be required to keep current on all property taxes and  
2 assessments, HOA dues, maintain the property, and maintain insurance on the property at issue.

3       10.    Pending review and approval of this stipulation by the Court, any deadlines for  
4 currently pending motions are suspended (included summary judgment motions due March 28, 2017).  
5 See ECF No. 27. If the stipulation is not approved by the Court, the parties stipulate any motions  
6 will be due 7 days from the entry of the Court's order. If this stipulation is granted, no responsive  
7 pleadings or motions are due while the stay is in effect.

8       11.    Defendant Hitchen Post shall be prohibited from selling or encumbering the property  
9 unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

10      12.    Plaintiff BANA is prohibited from conducting foreclosure proceedings on the  
11 property unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

12      ...

13      ...

14      ...

15      ...

16      ...

17      ...

18      ...

19      ...

20      ...

21      ...

22      ...

23      ...

24      ...

25      ...

26      ...

27      ...

28      ...

AKERMAN LLP

1160 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 330  
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89144  
TEL.: (702) 634-5000 - FAX: (702) 380-8572

1           13. Any party may file a written motion to lift stay at any time for either party determines  
 2 it appropriate.

3           Respectfully submitted, this 22 day of March, 2017.

<p><b>AKERMAN LLP</b></p> <p>/s/ <u>Tenesa Scaturro</u>  <b>MELANIE D. MORGAN</b>  Nevada Bar No. 8215  <b>TENESA S. SCATURRO, ESQ.</b>  Nevada Bar No. 12488  1160 Town Center Drive, Suite 330  Las Vegas, Nevada 89144</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP fka Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP</i></p>	<p><b>LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL F. BOHN</b></p> <p>/s/ <u>Michael Bohn</u>  <b>MICHAEL F. BOHN, ESQ.</b>  Nevada Bar No.  376 East Warm Spring Road, Ste #140  Las Vegas, NV 89119</p> <p><i>Attorneys for Defendant Hitchen Post Dr. Trust</i></p>
<p><b>Lipson, Neilson, Cole, Seltzer &amp; Garin, P.C.</b></p> <p>/s/ <u>David T. Ochoa</u>  <b>DAVID T. OCHOA, ESQ.</b>  Nevada Bar No. 10414  9900 Covington Cross Drive, Suite 120  Las Vegas, Nevada 89144</p> <p><i>Attorneys for South Valley Ranch Community Association</i></p>	

18           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

19             
**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE**

20           DATED: March 23, 2017